



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**

As below named inventor, I declare that I am the original and first inventor of the subject matter which is claimed in the specification identified below and for which a patent is sought on the invention as titled therein. I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of said specification including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to herein. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a).

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	LAST NAME Gorinevsky	FIRST NAME Dimitry	MIDDLE INITIAL
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY Palo Alto	STATE California	CITIZENSHIP CANADA
POST OFFICE ADDRESS:	281 El Verano Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94306		

**TITLE OF THE INVENTION:  
IMAGE DEBLURRING WITH A SYSTOLIC ARRAY PROCESSOR**

Patent Application Attached  
 No earlier filed foreign application's priority is claimed.

**POWER OF ATTORNEY:** The power to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith is hereby granted to:

Kris T. Fredrick  
John S. Munday

Registration No. 42,554  
Registration No. 22,636

**SEND CORRESPONDENCE AND DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:**

Kris T. Fredrick  
Patent Services  
Honeywell International Inc.

101 Columbia Road  
Morristown, N.J. 07962

I further declare that all statements made herein on my own knowledge are true and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

SIGNATURE OF INVENTORS



---

Dmitry Gorinevsky

DATE: Jan. 3, 2004

37 C.F.R. §1.56

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to disclose all information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim, or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.
- A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before and consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.
- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor.